



**An Exploratory Study on Assessing Reflective Writing from Teachers' Perspectives**

Journal:	<i>Higher Education Research &amp; Development</i>
Manuscript ID	CHER-2019-0474.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Keywords:	Reflection, Assessment, Experiential learning, Teacher perception, Reflective writing

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## 1                    1                    **An Exploratory Study on Assessing Reflective Writing from Teachers' Perspectives**

### 2 3 4 5                    2 6 7 8                    3                    **Abstract**

9  
10                    4                    With the society increasingly valuing soft skills and competencies, reflective practices are more  
11  
12                    5                    commonly adopted in higher education particularly for experiential learning. As reflective  
13  
14                    6                    writing is becoming a part of official assessments in many courses, an overarching question  
15  
16                    7                    arises on how teachers are currently assessing reflections. This study explores teachers'  
17  
18                    8                    perspectives on the assessment of written reflections by interviewing six university teachers in  
19  
20                    9                    Hong Kong, who respectively assessed written reflections by 135 students. Teachers'  
21  
22                    10                    understandings of reflective writing, teachers' understandings of assessing reflections, as well  
23  
24                    11                    as teacher training are discussed in this exploratory study. The findings provide insight into  
25  
26                    12                    how reflection is currently understood among teachers, also offering suggestions for reflective  
27  
28                    13                    practices in higher education.

29  
30                    14                    **Keywords:** Reflection; reflective writing; assessment; experiential learning; teacher  
31  
32                    15                    perception  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1 Introduction

2 Most higher education institutions, including Hong Kong universities, designate generic  
3 competences such as creativity, critical thinking, global citizenship, and leadership as their  
4 graduate attributes (Authors, 2013). Yet traditional teaching and assessment approaches are  
5 failing to show evidence of developing these learning outcomes, and institutions are turning to  
6 alternative learning approaches, such as experiential learning, to fulfil these outcomes. Written  
7 reflections are now commonly used to assess students' learning outcomes in experiential  
8 learning. Several studies have demonstrated the importance of employing reflection in the  
9 learning process to provide students with the opportunity to think deeply (McGuire, Lay, &  
10 Peters, 2009; Bruno & Dell'Aversana, 2017; Authors, 2019). By encouraging students to think  
11 deeply of their own and their peers' actions and experiences, reflective writing can help develop  
12 students both personally and professionally (Kolb, 1984; Schön, 1983). With accumulating  
13 evidence that students in higher education show low levels of reflections (Lucas & Fleming,  
14 2012; O'Connell & Dymont, 2004), research has mainly focused on investigating students'  
15 perspectives regarding reflective activities (Coleman & Willis, 2015). However, an equally  
16 important question, less researched, is how teachers are assessing students' written reflections.  
17 Some research has been conducted, but mainly in the context of teacher and medical education  
18 (Hatton & Smith, 1995; Mansvelder-Longayroux et al., 2007). It would be pertinent to  
19 understand how teachers outside of these two disciplines in higher education are assessing  
20 students' written reflections.

21 Assessing reflections is more difficult than established assessment approaches due to  
22 the personal and exploratory nature of such activity. In a review by Dymont and O'Connell  
23 (2011), eleven articles were examined, and the analysis revealed little to no consistency around  
24 the mechanisms and processes of assessing reflections. **As teachers' understandings of  
25 reflective writing inevitably influence how they engage students with reflection and how they**

1 assess the writing (O'Connor, Hide, & Treacy, 2003), there is a need to explore teachers'  
2 perspectives on the assessment of reflective writing. This study aims to fill this gap in the  
3 research.

## 4 5 **Literature Review**

### 6 ***Reflection in Learning***

7 Reflection depends on making sense of, or critically evaluating, an experience to achieve new  
8 ideas (Kolb, 1984). Various frameworks and models of reflection have been developed for  
9 reflective practices in the educational context (for a review see Tsingos, Bosnic-Anticevich, &  
10 Smith, 2014). While the models may differ in their components, a common idea shared by all  
11 models is the relationship between experience and reflection, stressing the centrality of  
12 reflections to experiential learning.

13 Reflection, or reflective practice, is integral to learning as it enables one to examine his  
14 or her own and others' implicit assumptions to bring about transformed thinking (Mezirow,  
15 1997; Schön, 1983). In general, reflection can be personal, professional, or academic. Personal  
16 reflection concerns one's private journeys, which may involve spiritual growth, self-  
17 exploration, therapy, and identity searching (Moon, 2006). Academic or professional reflection,  
18 on the other hand, is focused, purposeful (Cowan, 2013), and commonly associated with  
19 assessment (Ryan & Ryan, 2012). In academic contexts, reflection is primarily assessed by  
20 written work or oral interviews (Koole et al., 2011; Moon, 2006). Recently, there is an  
21 emphasis on multimodality in reflection that integrates the use of multimedia and artistic  
22 activities to address issues of diversity and motivation in reflective practice (Barton & Ryan,  
23 2014; Koole et al., 2011; Yuan & Mak, 2018).

### 24 ***Reflective Writing as a Mode of Reflection***

1  
2  
3 1 Among the various reflective practices in higher education, reflective journals or reflective  
4  
5 2 writing has been one of the most widely adopted methods. Reflective writing is a mode of  
6  
7 3 reflection that allows one to express “unproven hunches” and “still-forming hypotheses” via  
8  
9 4 “a relatively informal and conversational way” (Cook-Sather, Abbot, & Felten, 2019, p. 15).  
10  
11 5 Besides, reflective writing can provide a type of brave space, which Arao and Clemens (2013)  
12  
13 6 proposed to encourage honest sharing of inner thoughts. It is an analytical activity through  
14  
15 7 which the writer understands and turns experience into learning (Boud, 2001). Reflective  
16  
17 8 writing is more personal for students: the focus is on encouraging students to be exploratory,  
18  
19 9 to question their learning experiences, and allowing them to acquire new understandings or  
20  
21 10 revelations after reflecting. Researchers have studied different types of reflective journals  
22  
23 11 including online blogs (De Andres Martínez, 2012), structured entries (Shumack, 2010),  
24  
25 12 progressive journal keeping (Chitpin, 2006), and end-of-programme submissions (Leberman  
26  
27 13 & Martin, 2004). Reflective writing under different contexts such as student work placements  
28  
29 14 (Skyles & Dean, 2013) and service learning (Schmidt & Brown, 2016), and in different  
30  
31 15 disciplines such as social work (Newcomb, Burton, & Edwards, 2018), psychotherapy (Sutton,  
32  
33 16 Townend & Wright, 2007) and teacher education (Hobbs, 2007) has also been researched.

34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 17 Moon (2004) identified four progressive levels of reflective writing: (1) descriptive  
41  
42 18 writing which lacks reflection; (2) descriptive account with some reflection; (3) reflective  
43  
44 19 writing 1 in which frames of reflection were recognized but limited; and (4) reflective writing  
45  
46 20 2 where description is evidence of a process of reflection. Thus, the depth of reflection in  
47  
48 21 students’ reflective writing can be expected to be measured through the four levels mentioned.  
49  
50 22 The fourth level of reflection is considered as critical reflection during which meaning is  
51  
52 23 attached to experiences and deep learning is likely to take place (Tsingos et al., 2014).  
53  
54 24 Accordingly, this type of reflection is referred to as deep reflection in this study as a  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 connotation of deep learning. However, research revealed that reflective writing of higher  
2 education students is mostly at the descriptive level (e.g., Lucas & Fleming, 2012).

### 3 *Teachers' Perceptions of Assessing Reflective Writing*

4 According to Ryan and Ryan (2012), "careful consideration is needed to plan deliberate and  
5 explicit strategies for improving students' reflective learning in higher education" (p.248),  
6 which includes the use of reflective writing. To integrate reflective writing in the classroom,  
7 teachers need to take into account the assessment of student reflection, which is fraught with a  
8 range of issues. Studies have identified challenges associated with assessing reflective writing,  
9 such as potential infringement on students' privacy (Ghaye, 2007), having little to no structure  
10 provided to students (Mills, 2008), effectiveness of rubrics for reflective writing (Authors,  
11 2019), assigning grades to students' journals (Chandler, 1997), cultural and contextual  
12 concerns in assessing (English, 2001), and the authenticity of reflection (Stuart & Richardson,  
13 2000; Sutton et al., 2007).

14 Currently, few studies have considered reflective writing from the educators'  
15 perspectives. One identified concern is the lack of teacher training (apart from non student-  
16 teacher) on effective reflective writing (O'Connell & Dymont, 2003). In addition, Bloxham  
17 (2009) indicated that despite the presumption that educators in higher education have a  
18 common understanding of academic standards, assessment is chiefly in the subjective hands of  
19 tutors. This is vitally important as continuous assessments are often the duties of teaching  
20 assistants and postgraduates, who have little to no teaching experience let alone training to  
21 assess reflective writing. When it comes to marking reflections, Grainger and Weir (2016)  
22 wrote that there is "no compromise of assessment integrity and reliability in terms of teacher  
23 judgements" (p. 75). Teachers, when depending on personal judgement in assessing written  
24 reflections, may fail to achieve valid and consistent assessment "because they have to judge  
25 selective descriptions without being able to verify their adequacy" (Koole et al., 2011, p5).

1  
2  
3 1 However, researchers seldom investigated teachers' perspectives regarding the assessment of  
4  
5 2 reflective writing, especially in the context of experiential learning.  
6  
7

8 3 Investigating the issues related to assessing reflective writing in Hong Kong is  
9  
10 4 particularly important because students with Asian heritage tend to be more sensitive to  
11  
12 5 negative judgement (Johnson, 2007). Students' self-consciousness and feelings of social  
13  
14 6 anxieties might hinder the practice of reflective writing in Hong Kong, but current literature on  
15  
16 7 the assessment of reflective writing remains largely from Western institutions. As pointed out  
17  
18 8 by Boud and Walker (1998), reflective practices are "highly context-specific and that the social  
19  
20 9 and cultural context in which reflection takes place has a powerful influence over what kinds  
21  
22 10 of reflection it is possible to foster" (p. 191). Despite growing research regarding reflective  
23  
24 11 writing in higher education institutions in Hong Kong (Ip et al., 2012; Shek & Wu, 2013),  
25  
26 12 research has neglected teachers' perspectives. The current gap of research suggests that  
27  
28 13 exploration into the assessment of reflective writing in Asian countries is needed, particularly  
29  
30 14 focusing on teachers' perspectives.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 16 **Current Study**

40  
41  
42 17 This research is an exploratory study, with the aim to explore teachers' perspectives on the  
43  
44 18 assessment of students' written reflections in higher education in Hong Kong. The following  
45  
46 19 research questions will be addressed in this study:  
47  
48

- 49 20 1. What are Hong Kong university teachers' understandings of reflective writing?
- 50  
51 21 2. How do Hong Kong university teachers understand the assessment of written reflections?
- 52  
53 22 3. How prepared are university teachers in Hong Kong (in terms of professional development  
54  
55 23 and training) to assess students' reflections?  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1 4. What are Hong Kong university teachers' suggestions to improve the current practices of  
4  
5 2 assessing students' written reflections?  
6  
7  
8 3  
9

#### 10 4 **Method**

##### 11 5 *Participants*

12 Purposeful sampling was conducted to recruit six out of nine university teachers who  
13 participated in an extracurricular experiential learning programme to enhance students' generic  
14 skills (e.g., team work, creativity, self-confidence), in Hong Kong universities. The programme  
15 included reflective writing activity in which the students reflected on their learning of generic  
16 skills during the programme. The recruited teachers included three in-service teachers and three  
17 teaching assistants, allowing for personal explanations of their experience from the perception  
18 of different levels of teaching experience ( $M_{\text{age}} = 31.33$ ,  $SD = 8.98$ ). The participants were 4  
19 females and 2 males, the selection homogenous with all recruited participants having grown up  
20 in Hong Kong to reduce variation (Palinkas et al., 2015). Among the recruited participants, the  
21 in-service teachers have a certain amount of teaching experience in higher education  
22 institutions (in psychology, education and linguistics respectively), whereas the teaching  
23 assistants were fresh graduates with little to no teaching experience (with majors in social  
24 sciences, law and education respectively). To ensure anonymity and confidentiality of the  
25 participants, code names were given to them, as Teacher E, Teacher J, Teacher M, Assistant J,  
26 Assistant L, and Assistant R. All of the participants signed informed consent to participate in  
27 this study, and the study was approved by the ethical committee at the university.

##### 28 22 *Research Design and Procedure*

29 23 Qualitative research was employed to better understand the totality of teachers' perceptions  
30 24 towards the assessment of reflective writing after they were involved in the assessment of  
31 25 reflective writing. The programme was a non-credit-based 5-day programme in which first-



1 and second-year undergraduate students from different disciplines (e.g., engineering, social  
2 science, science, law, arts, medicine, business) of six universities in Hong Kong were involved  
3 in various activities to improve their generic skills (e.g., interviewing local community,  
4 entrepreneurial and team work activities). Written reflections, with basic prompt questions  
5 asking students to reflect on what they have learnt and how they will do differently, were  
6 collected from 135 students at the end of the experiential learning programme.

7 The six participants in this study respectively assessed all 135 reflections submitted by  
8 the students. Marking was conducted on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 = poor; 5 = excellent). Semi-  
9 structured in-depth interviews with participants followed, which were one-on-one interviews  
10 to seek understanding of teachers' perspective and their experience in assessing reflective  
11 writing. The interviews focused on questions about: teachers' understanding of reflective  
12 writing, teachers' understanding of assessing reflections, teachers' professional development  
13 on reflections, and their suggestions to improve reflection assessment practices. Three  
14 university teachers were invited to review the interview questions to ensure the questions were  
15 clearly understood and were aligned with the objectives of the study.

### 16 ***Data analysis***

17 Content analysis was performed on the data collected in the interviews. In data coding, similar  
18 concepts are "grouped together to form categories and subcategories" (Corbin & Strauss, 1990,  
19 p.12). Such coding method enables the identification of categories for better understanding of  
20 the participants' inferences.

21 Analysis was guided by the interview questions and themes were derived and analysed,  
22 falling into four main categories: Teachers' understanding of reflective writing; Teachers'  
23 understanding of written reflections; Professional development; and Suggestions to improve  
24 reflection assessment practices. To validate and refine the categories, the codes were given to  
25 two coders for validation, who independently coded the data using these categories. Good inter-

1 rater agreement was achieved (Cohen's Kappa = .896). For interpretation validation,  
2 participants reviewed the categories identified. The results are explained below.

## 3 4 **Results**

### 5 *Teachers' Understanding of Reflective Writing*

6 Teachers' understanding of reflective writing was investigated through questions about the  
7 effectiveness of reflective writing and learning outcomes of reflective writing. From the  
8 participants' perspectives, the effectiveness of reflection for students depends on the students  
9 and may vary for every student. As Teacher J said, "reflection exercise overall helps, though  
10 of course the personal benefits vary individually". Yet, students' reflections seemed to help the  
11 participants gain better insights into students' individual differences and learning experiences.  
12 For instance, Teacher M reported "I understand how students feel about these activities."  
13 Assistant R said "I learned that I had to suggest the students how to reflect before letting them  
14 do it." and Assistant J stressed "learning outcomes in experiential learning are highly  
15 personalized, as each student has different experiences, and hence different learning outcomes."

16 Four out of the six participants considered reflection as an appropriate assessment  
17 activity for the development of generic competencies. Teacher E stated: "Generic competency  
18 development is very personal and reflective journals can help students document how they  
19 improve each day or after each activity." Teacher J reported "I do believe that reflection is a  
20 very critical element for personal growth including competency enhancement". Those who did  
21 not regard reflection as an appropriate assessment shared apprehension on students being  
22 forced to reflect or commented on the difficulty of assessing students' reflections in a written  
23 form. As explained by Assistant R and Assistant L respectively, "I believe that we cannot force  
24 students to reflect.", "...because I find it difficult to assess students' reflection in a written form  
25 for this assessment".

1  
2  
3 1 Most participants expected the outcomes of reflections to be a reviewing experience  
4  
5 2 that increases students' awareness of the benefits of reflection. For example, Assistant L  
6  
7 3 believed that students would 'become aware of the importance of reflection in their daily  
8  
9 4 encounters' and Teacher M suggested that students would have 'reviewed what they have done'.  
10  
11 5 The participants believed that reflection can enhance students' learning through learning from  
12  
13 6 their experiences and being self-aware in identifying their strengths and weaknesses. As put by  
14  
15 7 Teacher J, "Reflection occurs to me as essential for learning from experiences." Teacher E  
16  
17 8 reported, "it allows them to understand their strengths and weaknesses and identify their areas  
18  
19 9 for improvement." Additionally, Assistant L said: "... helps to avoid ... making similar  
20  
21 10 mistakes". Learning and development of skills, including generic competencies, self-  
22  
23 11 understanding and critical thinking, were mentioned by some participants as reflection  
24  
25 12 outcomes.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 13 Participants in this study had varying opinions on whether reflection affects teacher-  
33  
34 14 student relationships. To some (i.e., 4 participants), reflection activities can enhance teacher-  
35  
36 15 student relationships. Assistant R explained: 'As not all students are able and willing to tell  
37  
38 16 teachers their personal feelings, reflection is a platform for teachers to connect with students.'  
39  
40 17 On the other hand, others are unable to see the relationship between reflective practices and  
41  
42 18 teacher-student relationships. Assistant J did not see how reflection and teacher-student  
43  
44 19 relationships were related, whereas Assistant L stated that it depended on the questions in the  
45  
46 20 reflection.

### 51 ***Teachers' Understanding of Assessing Reflective Writing***

52  
53 22 The interviewees in this study found students using reflections to assess generic skills to  
54  
55 23 provide evidence of learning and development of generic competencies in higher education, as  
56  
57 24 these skills cannot be assessed by traditional assessments. Teacher E reported:

1  
2  
3 1 Generic skills development is different from academic achievement in that it  
4  
5 2 cannot be assessed via an exam, and this is probably the reason why teachers  
6  
7 3 and higher education institutions are using reflection to assess generic skills.....  
8  
9

10 4 I need to assess them to provide evidence of student learning.  
11  
12

13 5 Other reasons mentioned by the participants include achieving courses' learning outcomes,  
14  
15 6 meeting institutional aims, responding to administrative requirements, and informing future  
16  
17 7 development of programmes in assessing reflective practices.  
18  
19

20  
21 8 In understanding the assessment of written reflections, participants varied in their  
22  
23 9 approaches, including looking for evidence of deep reflection, writing proficiency of students,  
24  
25 10 word count in reflections, and referring to previous cases of assessed work. Teacher E  
26  
27 11 mentioned looking for "signs of self-awareness, and deep emotions and learnings" and Teacher  
28  
29 12 J focused on the "depth and breadth of reflection". While these participants focused on how  
30  
31 13 reflective the writings were, others considered more. Two interviewees reported that they gave  
32  
33 14 lower grades to students who failed to give concrete examples to support their reflections or  
34  
35 15 who were less comprehensible in their writing. Assistant R referred to word count, while  
36  
37 16 Assistant L mentioned considering writing proficiency, such as grammar and  
38  
39 17 comprehensibility, when assessing reflections.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 18 Participants were aware of their own judgement while assessing student reflections.  
46  
47 19 Concerns were voiced on student individuality and the overall perception that student written  
48  
49 20 reflections were not very reflective. Assistant R pointed out problems such as students' mother  
50  
51 21 tongue and learning experiences may vary, which caused challenges in assessing reflection  
52  
53 22 based on "discrepancy between the assumption and reality". Interviewees mostly judged the  
54  
55 23 student reflections they assessed as "descriptive" and the scores given by the interviewees were  
56  
57 24 either 1 (poor) or 2 (fair). When asked for the approximate percentage of descriptive reflections  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 1 in students' writing compared to reflective reflections, participants' answers ranged from 70%  
4  
5 2 to 95%. Student reflections were described as "short", and five out of six participants indicated  
6  
7 3 that the reflections were "shallow", so marking judgements were made according to other  
8  
9 4 students' reflections. Assistant L claimed that "it's difficult to know if the students truly  
10  
11 5 understand the concept of reflection", and Assistant J resorted to marking and remarking  
12  
13 6 reflections for consistency. All of the participants shared concerns on teacher bias and marking  
14  
15 7 consistency, understanding the need to minimise their personal judgement while assessing  
16  
17 8 reflective writing.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 9 Interviewees understood the importance of student self-expression while assessing  
24  
25 10 reflective writing, and suggested that assessing reflections may be unfair. Comments on  
26  
27 11 students' reflections included students providing "model answers" or "edited" answers which  
28  
29 12 were less personal and less concrete, but participants questioned how fair it was to grade  
30  
31 13 students when their reflections were conscious of grading, or when some students seemed to  
32  
33 14 not have learnt much. In turn, two of the participants compared students' reflections while  
34  
35 15 giving grades, while two of the participants did not consider the length of student reflections.  
36  
37 16 As Assistant E said, students "should be allowed to reflect on their experience freely". All of  
38  
39 17 the participants acknowledged that experiences may be dissimilar for students, thus making it  
40  
41 18 difficult to grade students.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 19 When asked about the ethical aspect of assessing students' reflections, not all of the  
47  
48 20 participants appeared to have considered it. Two participants thought there were no ethical  
49  
50 21 issues with assessing students' reflections, and one participant was unsure. The rest of the  
51  
52 22 interviewees acknowledged that students' written reflections had to be treated confidentially  
53  
54 23 because they are personal. As explained by Teacher M, "Because student reflection can include  
55  
56 24 an intimately personal experience. Therefore, student reflective journal should not be disclosed  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 to others”. Similarly, Assistant R stressed that “Reflections are personal. Students may not be  
2 willing to expose their emotions to assessors or anyone.”

### 3 ***Teacher’s Professional Development towards Reflection***

4 Most of the participants interviewed had never been trained to assess students’ reflections.  
5 Teacher E and Teacher M remarked that they were not aware of any training opportunities,  
6 while Teacher J explained that teachers of higher education institutions ‘generally do not go  
7 through much training of this kind’. The lack of training for reflective practices, and the lack  
8 of awareness of the provision of such training in higher education institutions, may result in  
9 teachers being unconfident and unsure of how they should mark reflections.

10 Indeed, regarding their confidence in marking written reflections in the current study,  
11 half of the participants reported having some confidence while the other half reported not being  
12 confident. Moreover, all of the participants claimed to be unfamiliar with assessing the task.  
13 As Teacher J said, “the present marking activity was a bit novel to me”. While being unfamiliar  
14 and not confident with reflective practices, the participants were aware of their uncertainties.  
15 For instance, Assistant J mentioned it was “the first time for me to mark reflections”. The in-  
16 service teachers in this study were slightly more familiar with assessing students’ reflections  
17 than teaching assistants, although all of them commented that they have not received any  
18 training on reflective practices. Overall, the participants indicated their lack of experience  
19 regarding reflection and found marking reflections difficult.

### 20 ***Suggestions to Improve Reflections Assessment Practices***

21 Participants noted that improvements are needed for current practices on assessing written  
22 reflections. On assessment methods of written reflections, the interviewees suggested to  
23 remove any standards or criteria for grading, or adopt a pass/fail grading system. Other methods  
24 of marking were suggested, such as Teacher J indicating that teacher commentaries would be

1  
2  
3 1 a helpful assessment for reflections. Participants also emphasised the importance of providing  
4  
5 2 exemplars for each grade if reflections are to be graded. Assistant L believed it would be more  
6  
7 3 effective for marking if there was a sample of each grade, and Assistant J expressed that apart  
8  
9 4 from examples for each grade, more comprehensive marking guidelines with 'other aspects like  
10  
11 5 language and organization' would help in marking. While participants pointed out the lack of  
12  
13 6 exemplars causing confusion in assessing reflections, this proves the importance of including  
14  
15 7 exemplars in reflection marking guidelines for teachers. Some participants stressed that in order  
16  
17 8 for higher education students to benefit from reflective activities, the assessment of reflections  
18  
19 9 should not include grades. Rather, the focus should be on the process of learning.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 10 In the interviews, participants expressed that reflective practices could have room for  
26  
27 11 adaptations, stressing that students should feel comfortable in reflecting. As Teacher M  
28  
29 12 mentioned, it is important that 'students can clearly deliver what they want to deliver, without  
30  
31 13 language barrier or struggle which may hinder their thought', thus, students should be allowed  
32  
33 14 to reflect in their mother tongue even if that is not the language used for medium of instruction.  
34  
35 15 The participants agreed that other reflection methods which show evidence of ongoing process  
36  
37 16 of learning, such as diaries or daily journals, are crucial. Four out of six participants supported  
38  
39 17 the use of communicative reflections, including interviews, talking to students, counselling  
40  
41 18 opportunities and so on. The interviewees particularly preferred discussion, suggesting that  
42  
43 19 communicating with the students directly would be a better assessment method and would  
44  
45 20 enhance teacher-student relationship. However, two participants expressed doubt that  
46  
47 21 communicative reflections can be more challenging for students. As explained by Assistant J,  
48  
49 22 "students might be nervous if their reflections are recorded, and when they are asked to talk  
50  
51 23 about their experiences verbally". Similarly, Assistant L addressed that "some students may  
52  
53 24 find it difficult or uncomfortable to convey their ideas via audio or video." The participants  
54  
55 25 also indicated that applying different methods of reflection may be more effective, as Teacher  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 1 M said, with “multi-sources provided by the students, it would facilitate teacher to assess  
4  
5 2 student reflections”.

6  
7  
8  
9 3

#### 10 11 12 4 **Discussion**

13  
14 5 Findings reveal that participant teachers ascribed different meanings to reflective writing,  
15  
16 6 which manifests teachers’ two constructions of reflection – (1) reflection as an assessment  
17  
18 7 and learning tool; and (2) reflection as a cognitive, affective and social process. These two  
19  
20 8 constructions capture the complex nature of reflective practices as well as underpin teachers’  
21  
22 9 engagement with such practice. Varied understandings of reflective writing point to an urgent  
23  
24 10 need to fine-tune teacher professional development.

#### 25 26 27 28 29 11 ***Reflection as an assessment and learning tool***

30  
31 12 Although six teachers exhibited different views, the most common understanding is to see  
32  
33 13 reflection as an assessment tool. For students, it is understood that teachers perceived written  
34  
35 14 reflection as a review tool to evaluate their past experience (e.g., Teacher M asserted that  
36  
37 15 students could “reviewed what they have done” and Teacher E believed students could  
38  
39 16 “identify their strengths and weaknesses”). Whereas for teachers, four out of six participants  
40  
41 17 understood reflective writing as an effective assessment tool for generic competency  
42  
43 18 development or “meeting administrative requirements”. Under such thinking, reflection is  
44  
45 19 seen as the “outcome”, rather than the “process” that is valued and emphasized (Stewart &  
46  
47 20 Richardson, 2000). O’Connor et al. (2003) cautioned that this is dangerous because reflective  
48  
49 21 practice is seen as “an isolated dimension of their [students’] learning” (p.16), or worse as  
50  
51 22 merely a tool for teachers to assess, rather than a way of thinking that permeates students’  
52  
53 23 learning generally.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1 Valuing reflection as the outcome (assessment) instead of the process (learning) is not  
2 an issue exclusive to our sampled teachers, but to many other more experienced educators  
3 worldwide also (e.g., Braine, 2009; O'Connor et al., 2003). The learning potential enabled by  
4 reflection is not entirely clear among teachers as they believed that outcomes vary for each  
5 student. Although we do acknowledge such conditions as individual differences, teachers'  
6 reserved attitudes also reflect their unpreparedness to embrace reflection as "a legitimate,  
7 rigorous, and necessary mode of writing in this field" (Cook-Sather et al., 2019, p. 15)  
8 because they tend to perceive reflection as "conditionally" beneficial to those who are  
9 capable. As pointed out by Cook-Sather et al. (2019), the mode of reflective writing which  
10 includes in-process musing, emotions and identity, and the process of reflection that links  
11 analysis and practice make reflective writing legitimate and essential to the scholarship of  
12 teaching and learning. Teachers failing to recognise the learning role of reflection may result  
13 in a compartmentalised approach to engage students in reflection as a task (Johns, 2004) or  
14 for a grade (Chandler, 1997). Therefore, to fully bring out the potential of reflective writing,  
15 it is crucial to acknowledge that reflection is both an assessment tool and learning tool.

### 16 ***Reflection as a cognitive, affective and social process***

17 Teachers' ambivalent attitudes and concerns about assessing reflection reveal that unlike  
18 traditional assessment (e.g., exams), assessing reflection requires more than knowledge and  
19 involves not only the cognitive process (Beauchamp, 2015; Boud, 2001). There is a huge  
20 discrepancy between teachers' awareness in this aspect. While some teachers took into  
21 consideration the ethics, consistency and fairness in assessing, others did not even realize  
22 these potential issues. As reflection involves self-exploration and is sometimes "emotionally  
23 demanding" (Ghaye, 2007), inappropriate or negative assessment might be interpreted as a  
24 personal affront and generate unpleasant feelings that destruct learning (Crème, 2005; Varner  
25 & Peck, 2003). Therefore, more sophisticated understandings of reflective writing are

1 required (Ryan & Ryan, 2012). Teachers need to consider students' past, present and future  
2 experience, alongside their emotions and cultures, in the assessment of reflection (English,  
3 2001).

4 Discrepancy of views does not only exist in assessment, but also in the potential of  
5 learning through the social and affective domain when creating a supportive environment.  
6 Hobbs (2007) cautioned against the difference between voluntary reflection and "forced"  
7 reflection as a required component of a course subject to assessment. Such structured  
8 reflection gives rise to a range of moral concerns such as issues of personal rights and power  
9 (English, 2001; Cotton, 2001) and scholars advocated building a more supportive  
10 environment to mitigate these concerns and help students to reflect deeper (Fernsten &  
11 Fernsten, 2005; Hobbs, 2007). While some teachers believed reflective writing creates a  
12 "platform for teachers to connect with students" (Assistant R), others failed to see how  
13 reflection is ever related to teacher-student relationship (e.g., Assistant J). As well-argued by  
14 many researchers (Driessen, 2017; Ghaye, 2007; Sutton et al., 2007), building trust between  
15 teachers and students is important because reflective writing is not only a cognitive and  
16 analytical activity, but also a social and affective process where students learn to value their  
17 feelings and establish rapport. To better facilitate "required" written reflection considering its  
18 social and affective nature, teachers are advised to slowly introduce reflection into the  
19 curriculum, allow students to choose their preferred format and even refrain from assessing  
20 reflection in early stages (Hobbs, 2007).

### 21 ***Reflection as a professional development pathway***

22 Teachers' varied constructions of reflective writing point to a limitation in teacher  
23 professional development. As the interviewed teachers reported that they were not aware of  
24 training opportunities (or maybe there is not any), it is unsurprising that some teachers would  
25 see reflection simply as an assessment tool or a merely cognitive process. This may also

1  
2  
3 1 account for the difference in teachers' marking standards (e.g., word count; language).  
4  
5 2 Helping teachers develop mature and well-rounded understandings of reflection is important  
6  
7 3 because teachers would possibly bring their prescribed constructions into reflective practices,  
8  
9 4 influence how reflection is actually conducted, and thus impact student learning (O'Connor et  
10  
11 5 al., 2003). Especially as Hong Kong education is traditionally very teacher-centred, textbook-  
12  
13 6 centred, and test-centred (Adamson, Kwan, & Chan, 2000), and Asian students tend to be  
14  
15 7 sensitive to criticism (Johnsons, 2007), teachers' knowledge and mind-sets of reflection  
16  
17 8 should be better prepared.  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 9 In addition, interviewees tended to relate reflection solely to student learning without  
23  
24 10 seeing its relevance to their own teaching practices, which echoes what Hatton and Smith (1995)  
25  
26 11 commented that reflection is "not generally associated with working as a teacher" (p.36). Prior  
27  
28 12 studies argued that reflection was suitable to examine teaching (Brookfield, 1995; Beauchamp,  
29  
30 13 2015) because it allows teachers to reflect on their own practices (Loughran, 2002). How  
31  
32 14 reflection contributes to the continuing development of teachers should also be highlighted in  
33  
34 15 professional training programmes.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 17 **Limitations and Future Studies**

44  
45 18 Involving six teachers from local universities in Hong Kong with local background, the nature  
46  
47 19 of the current study is exploratory and research results are not generalisable. Moreover, the  
48  
49 20 participants of the current research were less experienced educators, despite having teaching  
50  
51 21 duties in assessing student work. The participants' perspectives may not represent all teachers  
52  
53 22 but are rich enough to generate insights into the issue under research. The next phase of this  
54  
55 23 study could be to try a teacher training intervention in reflective writing and compare the same  
56  
57 24 teachers' perspectives. It is also advisable for future studies to include a larger sample of  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 1 educators in the Asian regions. Another possible direction is to focus on more experienced  
4  
5 2 teachers along with less experienced teachers in investigation of teaching and assessing  
6  
7  
8 3 reflection, to include wider perspectives.  
9

10 4 Due to time and resource limitations, along with workload concerns, although each  
11  
12 5 participant assessed 135 reflections respectively, the participants assessed only one reflective  
13  
14  
15 6 exercise within the programme. This restricts the variations of reflections assessed. Future  
16  
17 7 studies can consider multiple forms of reflection for assessment, or a series of reflections over  
18  
19 8 a learning process, such as before, during and after a programme. While students and teachers  
20  
21 9 alike should have been given more opportunities to practice and understand reflection, this  
22  
23 10 study to a certain extent succeeded to provide insights into teachers' perspectives of reflective  
24  
25 11 practices in Asian higher education institutions.  
26  
27  
28

29 12 Apart from the above, ethical concerns in relation to reflective practices should be  
30  
31 13 investigated. The participants in this study doubted the assessment of students' reflections  
32  
33 14 given the personal nature of the reflections. Another topic to explore is the effect of teacher-  
34  
35 15 student relationship on reflective practices. Participants suggested that teacher-student  
36  
37 16 relationship could have an effect on the outcomes of reflections, which encourages further  
38  
39 17 investigation on this issue. It would also be more comprehensive if students' perspectives were  
40  
41 18 compared with teachers' perspectives in terms of how teachers assess reflective writing.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 20 **Implications and Conclusion**

50  
51 21 This study explored teachers' perspectives on the assessing reflective writing in Hong Kong  
52  
53 22 higher education and produced several implications. First, reflection should be seen as both an  
54  
55 23 assessment tool and a learning tool. Teachers should value and emphasise reflection as a  
56  
57 24 process of student learning, instead of focusing on reflection as an outcome of learning. To  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 1 achieve this goal, teachers are advised to make clear to students the benefits of developing  
4  
5 2 reflection as a way of thinking before engaging them in reflective writing. More formative  
6  
7 3 approaches of assessment instead of summative ones are recommended to help students focus  
8  
9 4 more on the process.  
10  
11  
12

13 5 Second, when implementing reflective activities, including reflective journals, the  
14  
15 6 teachers should focus on both the process of learning and students' needs because students  
16  
17 7 might be uncomfortable being judged based on personal reflections. Trust and support from  
18  
19 8 teachers are important as reflection involves students' individual self-exploration. Teachers  
20  
21 9 may consider to allow students to choose their preferred format to reflect (e.g., discussions;  
22  
23 10 reflection in students' mother tongue), or even refrain from grading reflection at the early stage.  
24  
25  
26

27 11 Third, universities particularly in Asia should equip teachers with the essential skills to  
28  
29 12 run reflective activities and assess their outcomes. There is a need for professional development  
30  
31 13 training to prepare the teachers for this task, particularly as interviewed teachers in the present  
32  
33 14 study were not aware of training opportunities. Professional development training for teachers  
34  
35 15 should also highlight how examining students' reflection could contribute to teachers'  
36  
37 16 development.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1     **References**

- 2  
3  
4  
5  
6     2     Adamson, B., Kwan, T., & Chan, K. K. (2000). *Changing the curriculum: The impact of reform*  
7  
8     3     *in primary schooling in Hong Kong*. Hong Kong: HKU Press.
- 9  
10  
11     4     Arao, B, & Clemens, K. (2013). From safe spaces to brave spaces: A new way to frame dialogue  
12  
13     5     around diversity and social justice. In L. Landreman (Ed.), *The art of effective*  
14  
15     6     *facilitation: Reflections from social justice educators* (pp. 135-150). Sterling, VA:  
16  
17     7     Stylus.
- 18  
19  
20     8     Authors. (2013).
- 21  
22  
23     9     Authors. (2019).
- 24  
25  
26     10     Barton, G., & Ryan, M. (2014). Multimodal approaches to reflective teaching and assessment  
27  
28     11     in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 33(3), 409-424.
- 29  
30  
31     12     Beauchamp, C. (2015). Reflection in teacher education: issues emerging from a review of  
32  
33     13     current literature. *Reflective Practice*, 16(1), 123–141.
- 34  
35  
36     14     Bloxham, S. (2009). “Marking and moderation in the UK: false assumptions and wasted  
37  
38     15     resources.” *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(2), 209-220.
- 39  
40  
41     16     Boud, D. (2001). Using journal writing to enhance reflective practice. In English, L. M. and  
42  
43     17     Gillen, M. A. (Eds.), *Promoting Journal Writing in Adult Education. New Directions*  
44  
45     18     *in Adult and Continuing Education No. 90* (pp.9-18). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- 46  
47  
48     19     Boud, D., & Walker, D. (1998). Promoting reflection in professional courses: The challenge of  
49  
50     20     context. *Studies in higher education*, 23(2), 191-206.
- 51  
52  
53     21     Braine, M. E. (2009). Exploring new nurse teachers’ perception and understanding of reflection:  
54  
55     22     An exploratory study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 9(4), 262–270.
- 56  
57  
58     23     Brookfield, S. D. (1995). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- 59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1 Bruno, A., & Dell'Aversana, G. (2017). Reflective practice for psychology students: The use  
4 of reflective journal feedback in higher education. *Psychology Learning and*  
5  
6 2 of reflective journal feedback in higher education. *Psychology Learning and*  
7  
8 3 *Teaching, 16(2)*, 248-260.  
9
- 10 4 Chandler, A. (1997). Is this for a grade? A personal look at journals. *English Journal, 86(1)*,  
11 45-49.  
12
- 13 5  
14  
15 6 Chitpin, S. (2006). The use of reflective journal keeping in a teacher education program: a  
16 Popperian analysis. *Reflective Practice, 7(1)*, 73-86.  
17
- 18 7  
19  
20 8 Coleman, D., & Willis, D. S. (2015). Reflective writing: The student nurse's perspective on  
21 reflective writing and poetry writing. *Nurse Education Today, 35(7)*, 906–911.  
22
- 23 9  
24  
25 10 Cook-Sather, A., Abbot, S., & Felten, P. (2019). Legitimizing reflective writing in SoTL:  
26 "Dysfunctional illusions of rigor" revisited. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 7(2)*, 14-27.  
27
- 28 11  
29  
30 12 Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (1990). Grounded theory research: procedures, canons, and evaluative  
31 criteria. *Qualitative Sociology, 13(1)*, 3-21.  
32
- 33 13  
34  
35 14 Cotton, A. H. (2001). Private thoughts in public spheres: Issues in reflection and reflective  
36 practices in nursing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 36(4)*, 512–519.  
37
- 38 15  
39  
40 16 Cowan, J. (2013). Facilitating reflective journaling – personal reflections on three decades of  
41 practice. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education, 5*, 1-17.  
42
- 43 17  
44  
45 18 Creme, P. (2005). Should student learning journals be assessed?. *Assessment & Evaluation in*  
46 *Higher Education, 30(3)*, 287-296.  
47
- 48 19  
49  
50 20 De Andres Martinez, C. (2012). Developing metacognition at a distance: Sharing students'  
51 learning strategies on a reflective Blog. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 25(2)*,  
52 199-212.  
53
- 54 21  
55  
56 22  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1 Driessen, E. (2017). Do portfolios have a future? *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 22(1),  
4  
5 221–228.  
6  
7  
8 3 Dymont, J. E., and O’Connell, T. S. (2011). Assessing the quality of reflection in student  
9  
10 4 journals: a review of the research. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 16(1), 81-97.  
11  
12  
13 5 English, L. M. (2001). Ethical Concerns Relating to Journal Writing. *New directions for adult*  
14  
15 6 *and continuing education*, 90, 27-35.  
16  
17  
18 7 Fernsten, L. & Fernsten, J. (2005) Portfolio assessment and reflection: enhancing learning  
19  
20 8 through effective practice, *Reflective Practice*, 6(2), 303–309.  
21  
22  
23 9 Ghaye, T. (2007). Is reflective practice ethical? (The case of the reflective portfolio). *Reflective*  
24  
25 10 *Practice*, 8(2), 151–162.  
26  
27  
28 11 Grainger, P., & Weir, K. (2016). An alternative grading tool for enhancing assessment practice  
29  
30 12 and quality assurance in higher education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching*  
31  
32 13 *International*, 53(1), 73-83.  
33  
34  
35 14 Hatton, N., & Smith, D. (1995). Reflection in Teacher Education: Towards Definition and  
36  
37 15 Implementation. *Teaching & Teacher Education*, 11(1), 33-49.  
38  
39  
40 16 Hobbs, V. (2007). Faking it or hating it: can reflective practice be forced? *Reflective Practice*,  
41  
42 17 8(3), 405–417.  
43  
44  
45 18 Ip, W. Y., Lui, M. H., Chien, W. T., Lee, I. F., Lam, L. W., & Lee, D. (2012). Promoting self-  
46  
47 19 reflection in clinical practice among Chinese nursing undergraduates in Hong Kong.  
48  
49 20 *Contemporary Nurse*, 41(2), 253-262.  
50  
51  
52 21 Johns, C. (2004). *Becoming a Reflective Practitioner* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed). London: Blackwell Science.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 1 Johnson, J.T. (2007). Beliefs about the emotions of self and others among Asian American and  
4  
5 2 Non-Asian American students: basic similarities and the mediation of differences.  
6  
7 3 *Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology*, 38(3), 270-283.  
8  
9  
10 4 Kolb, D.A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and*  
11  
12 5 *development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, Inc.  
13  
14  
15 6 Koole, S., Dornan, T., Aper, L., Scherrpbier, A., Valcke, M., Cohen-Schotanus, J., & Derese,  
16  
17 7 A. (2011). Factors confounding the assessment of reflection: a critical review. *BMC*  
18  
19 8 *Medical Education*, 11(104), 1-9.  
20  
21  
22  
23 9 Leberman, S. I., & Martin, A. J. (2004). Enhancing transfer of learning through post-course  
24  
25 10 reflection. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 4(2), 173-184.  
26  
27  
28 11 Loughran, J. (2002). Effective reflective practice: in search of meaning in learning about  
29  
30 12 teaching. *Journal of teacher Education*, 53(1), 33-43.  
31  
32  
33 13 Lucas, P., & Fleming, J. (2012). Reflection in sport and recreation cooperative education:  
34  
35 14 Journals or blogs? *Asia-pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 13(1), 55-64.  
36  
37  
38 15 Mansvelder-Longayroux, D., Beijaard, D. & Verloop. N. (2007) The portfolio as a tool for  
39  
40 16 stimulating reflection by student teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(1), 47-  
41  
42 17 62.  
43  
44  
45 18 McGuire, L., Lay, J., & Peters, J. (2009). Pedagogy of reflective writing in professional  
46  
47 19 education. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 9(1), 93-107.  
48  
49  
50 20 Mezirow, J. (1997). Transformative learning: Theory to practice. *New Directions for Adult and*  
51  
52 21 *Continuing Education*, 74, 5-12.  
53  
54  
55 22 Mills, R. (2008). "It's just a nuisance": Improving college student reflective journal writing.  
56  
57 23 *College Student Journal*, 42(2), 684-690.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1 Moon, J. (2004). *A Handbook of Reflective and Experiential Learning*. London: Routledge  
4  
5 2 Falmer.  
6  
7  
8 3 Moon, J. A. (2006). *Learning journals: A handbook for reflective practice and professional*  
9  
10 4 *development* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). London: Routledge.  
11  
12  
13 5 Mansvelder-Longayroux, D. D., Beijaard, D., et Verloop, N. (2007). The portfolio as a tool for  
14  
15 6 stimulating reflection by student teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(1), 47-  
16  
17 7 62.  
18  
19  
20 8 Newcomb, M., Burton, J., & Edwards, N. (2018). Pretending to be authentic: challenges for  
21  
22 9 students when reflective writing about their childhood for assessment. *Reflective*  
23  
24 10 *Practice*, 19(3), 333-344.  
25  
26  
27 11 O'Connell, T. S., & Dymont, J. E. (2003). Effects of a journaling workshop on participants in  
28  
29 12 university outdoor education field courses: An exploratory study. *Journal of*  
30  
31 13 *Experiential Education*, 26(2), 75-87.  
32  
33  
34 14 O'Connell, T. S., & Dymont, J. E. (2004). Journals of post secondary outdoor recreation  
35  
36 15 students: The result of a content analysis. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor*  
37  
38 16 *Learning*, 4(2), 159-172.  
39  
40  
41 17 O'Connor, A., Hyde, A., & Treacy, M. (2003). Nurse teachers' constructions of reflection and  
42  
43 18 reflective practice. *Reflective Practice*, 4(2), 107-119.  
44  
45  
46 19 Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015).  
47  
48 20 Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method  
49  
50 21 implementation research. *Administration and policy in mental health and mental health*  
51  
52 22 *services research*, 42(5), 533-544.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1 Ryan, M. E., & Ryan, M. (2012). Theorising a model for teaching and assessing reflective  
4  
5 2 learning in higher education. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 32(2), 1-  
6  
7 3 14.  
8  
9  
10 4 Schmidt, N. A., & Brown, J. M. (2016). Service learning in undergraduate nursing education:  
11  
12 5 Strategies to facilitate meaningful reflection. *Journal of professional Nursing*, 32(2),  
13  
14 6 100-106.  
15  
16  
17  
18 7 Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner*. New York: Basic Books.  
19  
20  
21 8 Shek, D., & Wu, F. (2013). Reflective journals of students taking a positive youth development  
22  
23 9 course in a university context in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Child Health and*  
24  
25 10 *Human Development*, 6(1), 7-15.  
26  
27  
28 11 Shumack, K. (2010). The conversational self: Structured reflection using journal writings.  
29  
30 12 *Journal of Research Practice*, 6(2), 1-22.  
31  
32  
33 13 Stewart, S., & Richardson, B. (2000). Reflection and its place in the curriculum on an  
34  
35 14 undergraduate course: should it be assessed?. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher*  
36  
37 15 *Education*, 25(4), 369-380.  
38  
39  
40 16 Sutton, L., Townend, M., & Wright, J. (2007). The experiences of reflective learning journals  
41  
42 17 by cognitive behavioural psychotherapy students. *Reflective Practice*, 8(3), 387-404.  
43  
44  
45 18 Tsingos, C., Bosnic-Anticevich, S., & Smith, L. (2014). Reflective practice and its implications  
46  
47 19 for pharmacy education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 78(1), 1–10.  
48  
49  
50 20 Varner, D., & Peck, S. R. (2003). Learning from learning journals: The benefits and challenges  
51  
52 21 of using learning journal assignments. *Journal of management education*, 27(1), 52-77.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 Yuan, R, and Mak, P. (2018). Reflective learning and identity construction in practice,  
2 discourse and activity: Experiences of pre-service language teachers in Hong Kong.  
3 *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 74, 205-214.  
4

For Peer Review Only